

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ANDERSON DIVISION

Christopher Williamson,	)	C.A. No. 8:05-00424-HMH-BHH
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>OPINION &amp; ORDER</b>
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
Maurice Jolly, SCDC Kitchen Staff, and	)	
Captain Jena Johnson,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

This matter is before the court with the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Bruce H. Hendricks, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 73.02 DSC.<sup>1</sup> Christopher Williamson (“Williamson”), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, alleges various violations to his civil rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In her Report and Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Hendricks recommends granting the Defendants’ motion for summary judgment.

Williamson filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party’s right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d

---

<sup>1</sup> The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with the United States District Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that Williamson's objections are non-specific, unrelated to the dispositive portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, or merely restate his claims. Therefore, after a thorough review of Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the court adopts the Magistrate Judge Hendricks' Report and Recommendation.

Therefore, it is

**ORDERED** that the Defendants' motion for summary judgment is granted. It is further

**ORDERED** that all other pending, nondispositive motions are dismissed as moot.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr.  
United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina  
February 9, 2006

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.